

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/998,898	11/30/2001	Shawn P. Delany	21756-013300	4155	
51206	51206 7590 10/04/2006			EXAMINER	
	ND AND TOWNSEN ARCADERO CENTER	SALAD, ABDU	JLLAHI ELMI		
8TH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2157		

DATE MAILED: 10/04/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/998,898	DELANY ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Salad E. Abdullahi	2157				
- Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status	•						
	Posponsive to communication(s) filed on 26 /u	une 2006					
. —	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 June 2006</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
	on of Claims						
•							
•	Claim(s) <u>1,3-5,7-18,20-24,26-31 and 33-42</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
•	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
•	Claim(s) <u>1, 3-5,7-18, 20-24, 26-31 and 33-42</u> is/are rejected.						
•	- · · · - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · 						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application	on Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some ★ c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
3	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	3 0.				
Attachment	(s)						
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notice	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:							
rapei nu(s)/iviali Date							

Art Unit: 2157

Response to Amendment

- 1. The amendment filed 6/26/2006 has been received and made of record.
- 2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-5,7-18, 20-24, 26-31 and 33-42 have been fully considered but are not persuasive for the following reason.
- 3. Applicant alleges Neither Schneider nor Miller teaches or suggests, alone or in combination "receiving from a first entity a request to add the first entity to a first group, accessing an indication of a first policy from a set of policies for changing static membership of said first group and adding said first entity to said first group as a static member based on said first policy".

Examiner respectfully disagrees because Schneider receiving a request to add a first entity to a first group (see fig. 9 and col. 23, lines 27-53), accessing an indication of a first policy from a set of policies for changing static membership of said first group (see fig. 11 and col. 24, lines 32-54) and adding said first entity to said first group as a static member based on said first policy (see col. 24, lines 32-54). Schneider is silent regarding: wherein a request is received from the first entity to add the entity into a group. Miller, in analogous art discloses a system for handling requests to organize group membership, wherein a request is received from the first entity (i.e., group or subgroup or new members) to add the first entity into a group (see fig. 3 and col. 8, lines 1-50). Thus allowing to add an first entity into a group when a request is received from the first entity in order to provide coherent view of the different group members.

Art Unit: 2157

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1, 3-5,7-18, 20-24, 26-31 and 33-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schneider et al., U.S. Patent No. 6, 408,336[hereinafter Schneider] in view of Miller et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,839,752[hereinafter Miller]

 As per claim 1, Schneider discloses a method for modifying group membership, comprising the steps of:

receiving a request to add a first entity to a first group (see fig. 9 and col. 23, lines 27-53);

accessing an indication of a first policy from a set of policies for changing static membership of said first group (see fig. 11 and col. 24, lines 32-54); and adding said first entity to said first group as a static member based on said first policy (see col. 24, lines 32-54).

Schneider is silent regarding: wherein a request is received from the first entity to add the entity into a group.

Miller, in analogous art discloses a system for handling requests to organize group membership, wherein a request is received from the first entity (i.e., group or subgroup or new members) to add the first entity into a group (see fig. 3 and col. 8, lines 1-50). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time

Art Unit: 2157

of the invention presented with the teachings of Schneider to utilize the group membership management mechanism such as allowing to add an first entity into a group when a request is received from the first entity in order to provide coherent view of the different group members.

As per claim 3, Schneider discloses a method according to claim 1, wherein: said indication is stored in an attribute of an identity profile for said first group(see col. 7, lines 12-31).

As per claim 4, Schneider discloses a method according to claim 1, wherein: said set of policies includes an open policy, an open with filter policy, a controlled through workflow policy, and a closed policy (see col. 9, lines 32-52).

As per claims 5, and 7-10 Schneider discloses a method according to claim 4, wherein: said identity profile for said first group includes a filter attribute, said filter attribute stores a filter that is used with said open with filter policy to determine whether said first entity may be added to said first group (see fig. 3 and col. 9, lines 32-52).

As per As per claims 11-17 Schneider discloses a method according to claim 1, wherein: said indication is stored in an attribute of an identity profile for said first group; said identity profile for said first group includes an attribute that stores an indication of whether to send a message upon adding said first entity to said first group; and said

Art Unit: 2157

identity profile for said first group includes an attribute that stores said message (see fig. 3 col. 9, lines 32-52 and 12, lines 28-39).

As per claims 18, 20-24, 26-31 and 33-42, the claims include features discussed above with respect to claims 1-17, thus claims 18, 20-24, 26-31 and 33-42, are rejected same rational as claims 1-17.

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/998,898

Art Unit: 2157

- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Salad E. Abdullahi whose telephone number is 571-272-4009. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 5:00. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on 571-272-4001. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
- 8. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Abdullahi Salad Primary Examiner 10/1/2006

